



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,943	03/16/2001	Ralf Oestreicher	60,426-268	7794
24500	7590	06/02/2005	EXAMINER	
SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			NGUYEN, TAN QUANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

JUN 02 2005

GROUP 3600

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Paper No. 07/07/2004

Application Number: 09/810,943
Filing Date: March 16, 2001
Appellant(s): OESTREICHER ET AL.

KERRIE A. LABA
For Appellant

Pursuant to the Remand under 37 CFR 1.193(b)(1) by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on February 11, 2005, the reply to the REMAND is set forth below:

Status of Claims

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct. Claims 64 and 65 which were unintentionally left out from the final rejection. However, such claims are identical with the rejected claims 72 and 73 and should have been rejected under the same rationale as applied to claims 72 and 73. The argument in the appeal

Art Unit: 3661

brief in regarding to claim 73 is deemed to be persuasive. Thus, the rejection as applied to claims 65 and 73 has been dropped. Claims 65 and 73 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Thus, the status claims is below:

Claims 36-55, 61-64 and 69-72 are rejected.

Claims 58-60, 65-68 and 73 are objected.

Claims 56 and 57 are allowable.

Prior Art of Record

- (1) Research Disclosure 39916
- (2) U.S. Patent No. 5,810,392 to Gagnon
- (3) U.S. Patent No. 3,661,220 to Harris
- (4) U.S. Patent No. 5,906,393 to Mazur et al.

Grounds of Rejection

In the previous Examiner' Answer, the paragraphs 11 and 12 were the typographical errors and should be deleted since the rejection applied to claims 58-60 and 66-68 has been dropped before the final rejection.

Tan Nguyen
Respectfully submitted,
TAN Q NGUYEN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3661

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS
400 W. MAPLE ROAD, SUITE 350
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009
(248) 988-8360